Exemplary  Gave name, as well as, acronym for program or legislation and date passed; explained in detail what problem it was addressing and how it was designed to help solve the problem; specified how well it did or did not work and stated if program/ law is still in existence today; if program/ law is no longer existing tells why; correct spelling, punctuation, grammar; is neat and well-written.

Good   Gave name and acronym for program/ legislation, date passed; explained what program or legislation was intended to do; gave some idea of level of success but lacking in detail; did not address program/ laws current status, mostly correct spelling, punctuation, grammar, neat and generally well-written.

Fair   Gave name and acronym and date passed; gave some generalized idea of what the program or legislation was about but lacking in detail and clear explanation; failed to address whether this program or law still survives today; poor spelling, punctuation, grammar; sloppy and at times difficult to follow ideas because of poor writing style.

Poor   Missing name or acronym for program or legislation, missing date passed, unclear what program did or the intention of the legislation; does not discuss in terms of economics or law today; sloppy, poor spelling, grammar, punctuation; often difficult to follow development of ideas because of poor writing style.