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Since education reform efforts have long focused on reading and mathematics, the social studies classroom has been a target of remedial services—with children being removed from social studies to gain additional support in reading and math. As a result, many children spend years in school with little to no exposure to the social studies, despite the promising literacy practices that can be integrated into the rich content of history, civics, economics, and other subjects. I first noticed—and perpetuated—this deficiency in reading and writing within social studies when I began my teaching career. As a high school social studies teacher, my own observations of a void in high-quality writing among my students led me to action research that attempted to integrate more writing-focused activities into the curriculum of my 10th grade U.S. government and politics course.

Numerous studies suggest that integration of literacy skills across the content areas can serve multiple purposes. A national survey of teachers by Kiuhara, Graham, and Hawken offers some insight into what social studies teachers currently teach in terms of writing, and suggests where a refocusing of their efforts might get more payoff. According to the survey results, while most teachers agree that writing skills are essential to students’ future success, less than half the teachers surveyed felt adequately prepared to instruct their students in writing. Furthermore, a study by Paquette and Kaufman describes a widely held concern about the potential loss of civic awareness when students spend more time preparing for standardized exams at the expense of social studies instruction. The remedy is the integration of reading and writing activities into the social studies curriculum to reinforce literacy skills while teaching social studies content. As Katherine Misulis asserts, “Writing within content area instruction helps students to perceive the relevance of writing, as they are writing for real purposes within a setting that warrants its use.” In fact, the students’ failure to perceive the relevance of writing was one of my biggest hurdles.

The observed challenges in my own classroom were twofold. First, my students lacked either the willingness or ability to transfer basic writing skills from a language arts classroom into the social studies classroom. Their writing assessments were often sloppy, poorly organized, full of distracting errors, and, in many cases, incomplete. Second, as a teacher, I was so heavily focused on covering my content in preparation for end-of-course exams that I was failing to provide my students with writing skills that would be of more use to them in the future. These skills would likely serve the students better than any single piece of knowledge they might recall for a test.

My own reflection proved sufficient for identifying this second problem and committing to remedy it. As I outlined a comprehensive approach for integrating writing into my social studies classroom, I began by collecting baseline data about the first problem. I had attempted several writing assignments throughout the school year, but rarely were they well integrated with the content. Writing assessments such as document-based question (DBQ) essays were often treated as stand-alone activities, incorporated for the purpose of “writing more.” While the DBQs covered relevant content, they were used only on a quarterly basis as part of a learning community common assessment. Students clearly were not in the habit of writing regularly in social studies class. Following a DBQ essay, I issued a brief survey to get a greater understanding of why students were exhibiting such poor writing skills on their essays (see Figure 1).

The findings of the survey confirmed that students did not necessarily lack the writing skills (though some may); rather, they judged the essay as less important than a formal writing assignment for a language arts class, and as a result, did not practice the common steps in constructing a high-quality piece of writing.
Because the essay was for social studies class and we did not go through the writing process in class, the essays were hastily written without consulting the assignment’s guidelines and scoring guide. Seventy percent of students responded that they had not had another person proofread their papers, while 35 percent of students responded that they had not proofread their own papers. Forty percent of students also stated that they had not consulted the suggested outline or the scoring guide while writing their essays. In response to a question about what they might have done to write a better paper, most students indicated that they could have put more effort into writing the essay. Common responses were “I should reread my paper,” “explained my arguments more in-depth,” “[included] more details,” “spent more time on it,” and “refer[ed] to the scoring guide.”

The conclusions I had drawn from my baseline data shaped the scope of my action research. My objective was to improve the quality of writing among my social studies students by using a series of short writing lessons and assessments that were fully integrated into the curriculum. The intended result was to have students take writing more seriously, become accustomed to regular writing assignments, and apply the writing skills most of them already possessed in a new setting. The action research process is reflective classroom problem solving. Like any research, identifying and documenting a problem are the first steps. In my case, once I had identified a problem and attempted to ascertain its cause, I researched potential remedies and consulted with colleagues. With action research, the teacher is granted certain flexibility in trying out solutions and makes needed adjustments along the way, meanwhile reflecting on the success or failure of each implementation. The objective is to find whatever method works. The process is documented throughout, and the goal is that successful measures might be implemented in classrooms with similar problems. I implemented solutions one at a time, assessing the results both informally and formally along the way.

**Designing the Curriculum**

The writing curriculum that I designed was based on the content I needed to cover, the number of weeks I had remaining in the school year, and advice from language arts teachers and professional resources. The remainder of the school year offered three units of content: civil liberties and civil rights, Missouri government, and economics and public policy. After consultation with language arts teachers in my own school and in a graduate student setting, I decided to adopt a writing rubric that was already used by my school’s language arts teachers. All students in the school had had assignments graded with this rubric in their English classes. Furthermore, the school’s language arts teachers provided training on use of the rubric to a group of social studies instructors. For guidance on the kinds of writing activities to incorporate and best practices for integrating literacy, I consulted numerous professional resources. From these, I designed a series of lessons and assessments to scale up the use of writing in my classroom.

The student population for this study was a 10th grade U.S. government and politics class. The ability levels were mixed; an advanced placement level of this course is offered, but students are not otherwise tracked by ability. The school is in a middle-class, majority white, Midwestern suburb. I implemented my action research in one class period with 20 students—two of whom received special education services. The class was made up of 18 white students and two African American students. The implementation of the writing curriculum did not follow a concrete multi-phase process. Instead, students completed short writing activities two to three times per week for six weeks. During this time, I recorded observations of student reactions, collected data of writing scores from the rubric used to assess more formal assignments, and reflected in a journal about my own practices. The writing assessments that I used to measure student and teacher progress were exit passes, skeletons, a short persuasive essay, and a voter handbook.

Two short, in-class writing assessments that proved useful—exit passes and skeletons—are described by
Norman Unrau in his book about content area literacy. Exit passes are short summarizing/reflection activities used to measure students’ learning over the course of one or two days. I used exit passes approximately two days each week for about three weeks. Students began by writing two things they remembered from the previous day’s lesson. At multiple points throughout the lesson, I paused instruction and ask students to respond to a question about what we had learned so far that day. At the end of the class period, students wrote a summary of the lesson. These exit passes were collected as students exited the classroom; a student with an unfinished exit pass had to stay and finish the task before being permitted to leave. The exit passes were assessed informally. I used the information to determine whether students would need to review certain concepts the following day. The skeletons assignment combined writing with reading comprehension. Students were given two- to three-sentence summaries of larger sections of text explaining state constitutions. From this summary, students had to construct more complete paragraphs, with details and examples paraphrased from the text. In other words, they had to add “meat” to the skeleton. Three skeletons were assigned; each was assessed informally in class. High-quality student examples were shared beneath the document camera so that all students could see how to meet the expectations for the assignment.

The short persuasive essay was part of a unit test on civil liberties and civil rights. Students were given the essay questions three days before the test. They chose one of two questions to answer in a five-paragraph essay. This was the first assessment that was scored using the language arts rubric. I introduced the essay questions and rubric, emphasizing that the essay would be scored like a formal writing assignment. I explained to students that I expected well-organized essays with thorough supporting details, given the time they had to prepare. Students were permitted to bring an outline to class with them on the day of the test. In scoring the grammar and mechanics of the essays, I took into account that students did not have time to proofread or have access to outside proofreaders. The essay questions addressed the purpose and limitations of civil liberties granted in the Bill of Rights.

The voter handbook, while significantly different from previous assignments in style and content, was also scored with the language arts rubric. Parts of the rubric were adjusted to meet the expectations of the assignment, but the basic structure remained unchanged. For this assignment, students designed a handbook for Missouri voters that included all the essential information a new Missouri resident would need to understand state government. The handbook served a purpose in addition to writing practice; it also was the review for the Missouri Constitution Test, a state mandated test that every student must pass before graduating from high school.

Results

The most immediate result of the increased writing in my classroom was student buy-in. Once I had committed myself to integrating more writing in my curriculum, the adjustment period for the students was brief. The introduction of the language arts rubric alone set up an expectation for quality that the students typically begun the class period with a summary of yesterday’s information and ended class with a question about today’s content, requiring that the students put this information on paper before exiting the classroom placed responsibility on the students and concretized important knowledge. The unit test following implementation of the exit passes had the highest average score of the year; this may be due in part to the constant content review facilitated by exit passes. The skeletons assignment also served as preparation for implementation of a larger literacy skill—using details to support a claim. Practice with finding and paraphrasing supporting details in the text prepared students for the defense of their arguments in the persuasive essay (see Figure 2).

The writing quality on the persuasive essay and voter handbook seemed to suggest that students were beginning to...
Figure 3. Lindbergh District Writing Rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade:</th>
<th>6 Mastery</th>
<th>5 Mastery</th>
<th>4 Developing</th>
<th>3 Developing</th>
<th>2 Non-Mastery</th>
<th>1 Non-Mastery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FOCUS</td>
<td>The main idea is very clearly stated, and the topic is effectively limited, successfully unifying the work as a whole.</td>
<td>The main idea is clear, and the topic is limited, unifying the work as a whole.</td>
<td>The main idea is clear or clearly implicit, and the topic is partially limited, providing a degree of unification to the work as a whole.</td>
<td>The main idea is unclear, and the topic is only partially limited; with a lesser degree of unification to the work as a whole.</td>
<td>The main idea is unclear, and the topic is unlimited; the work lacks unity.</td>
<td>The subject and main idea are unclear; no apparent attempt has been made to limit the topic or to unify the work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORGANIZATION</td>
<td>A logical plan is signaled by highly effective transitions; the essay’s beginning and end are clearly and effectively related to the whole; all major ideas are set off by paragraphs that have clearly stated or implied topics.</td>
<td>A logical plan is signaled by some transitions; the essay’s beginning and end are clearly and effectively related to the whole; most major ideas are set off by paragraphs that mainly have stated or implied topics.</td>
<td>A logical plan is signaled by transitions; the essay’s beginning and end are somewhat effective; most major ideas are set off by paragraphs that mainly have stated or implied topics.</td>
<td>There is an attempted plan which the reader must infer; the essay’s beginning and end may be ineffective; some major ideas are set off by paragraphs that may have stated or implied topics.</td>
<td>There is no clear plan; the essay’s beginning and end are not effective; few major ideas are set off by paragraphs.</td>
<td>There is no discernable plan; no attempt is made to compose an effective beginning and end; major ideas are not set off by paragraphs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTENT DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>The work consistently reflects complexity through in-depth analytical thinking and observations and original insights supported by concrete, specific evidence.</td>
<td>The work reflects some degree of complexity through analytical thinking and observations, and is supported by concrete, specific evidence.</td>
<td>The work reflects little complexity, analytical thinking and insight, and is supported by concrete but generalized evidence.</td>
<td>The work reflects no complexity, analytical thinking or insight, and is supported by imprecise, unclear or redundant evidence.</td>
<td>The work reflects no attempt at complexity, analytical thinking or insight and has few supporting details.</td>
<td>The work reflects no attempt at complexity, analytical thinking or insight and has few supporting details.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STYLE</td>
<td>The sentences demonstrate a variety of structural patterns, relate to each other and the paragraph topic, and are subordinate to the topic (i.e., provide supporting detail to the topic sentence); the word and phrase choice is precise, vivid and sophisticated; the tone is appropriate and consistent.</td>
<td>Paragraphs are built on logically related sentences; word and phrase choice is consistently accurate; tone is appropriate and usually consistent.</td>
<td>Sentences in paragraphs are subordinate to topics; word choice is almost always accurate; tone is sometimes appropriate.</td>
<td>Sentences may not be subordinate to topics; word choice is frequently inaccurate; tone is often inappropriate.</td>
<td>Sentence relationships must be inferred; word choice is often confusing; tone is inappropriate or distracting.</td>
<td>Sentence relationships must be inferred; word choice is often confusing; tone is inappropriate or distracting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORRECTNESS</td>
<td>There are no major mechanical errors (e.g., agreement) and only one or two minor errors (e.g., spelling; format is correct.</td>
<td>There is only one major mechanical error or a few (four) minor errors; format is correct.</td>
<td>There may be two major mechanical errors or a few (four) minor errors; format is mostly correct.</td>
<td>Some (four or more of each) major or minor mechanical errors are present; format is somewhat correct.</td>
<td>Many (six or more of each) major or minor mechanical errors cause confusion; format is incorrect.</td>
<td>Many varied major and minor errors occur, making the paper difficult to read; format is incorrect or missing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REFERENCES</td>
<td>Source material is incorporated logically and insightfully; sources are documented accurately.</td>
<td>Source material is incorporated logically and proficiently; sources are documented accurately.</td>
<td>Source material is incorporated logically and adequately; sources are documented accurately for the most part.</td>
<td>Source material is incorporated but sometimes inaccurately or unclearly; documentation is infrequent and/or inaccurate.</td>
<td>Source material is incorporated but sometimes inaccurately or unclearly; documentation is infrequent and/or inaccurate.</td>
<td>Source material is never incorporated or incorporated inaccurately or unclearly; documentation is inaccurate and/or infrequent.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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perceive the relevance and importance of writing in social studies. The use of the language arts rubric for both assignments seemed to spur most students’ commitment to better organizing and reviewing their writing. The rubric uses a scale of one through six (see Figure 3). The majority of students scored in the four or five range on the persuasive essay. Whereas before, students had used generalizations in supporting a thesis, most students now used specific examples to back up their claims. For example, in defense of the claim that government should sometimes limit one’s individual liberties, a student used the example of defamatory speech: “Defamatory speech is another reason the government can limit rights. Defamatory speech is false information that is used to destroy the character and views of a certain person, to hurt or bully that person.” The student followed with a hypothetical situation of a newspaper publishing false information about President Obama, explaining that “to limit this from happening, we have [limits on] defamatory speech.”

The voter handbooks, while using a modified rubric, showed similar results. The majority of students had organized their handbooks in a neat and user-friendly manner—in a way that a new Missouri resident might actually pick it up as a reference guide (see Figure 4). In addition, students displayed more creativity with this assignment than on any previous assignment in the school year. Titles of the handbook included “Missouri Voting Made Easy” and “Missouri Handbook for Simple Minds.” Students took more pride in their work; not only was the content organized and accurate, but also the style and appearance of the handbooks was impressive. The effort exerted with the assignment clearly overshadowed that of previous writing assignments that were not well coordinated with the curriculum.

**Conclusion**

The focused integration of writing into my curriculum was a growing experience for my students and myself. While I had anticipated a struggle to get my students on board with more writing, I was mis-

Figure 4

of the added practice they are getting across disciplines. Perhaps most significantly, students will better comprehend and retain the content because they are required to use their knowledge in a new way. For the instructor, writing assessments are not difficult to design, and numerous resources exist for finding new ideas. Unrau’s book, which I previously mentioned, about content area literacy was especially useful in designing my curriculum. The assessments need not be formal, lengthy research essays that take hours to grade; short reflections also serve a useful purpose and need not be assessed on a regular basis. Barring major changes in legislation that put social studies at the forefront of education reform, we owe our students a commitment to prepare them for success in any discipline while conveying the essential history, civic, and social science content that first compelled us to become teachers of the social studies.
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